

SEMIOLÓGÍA. CLASIFICACIÓN BI-RADS. MAMOGRAFÍA, ECOGRAFÍA Y RM.

Bibliografía

1. American College of Radiology. Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System. 4th ed. Reston, VA, 2003.
2. Orel SG, Kay N, Reynolds C, Sullivan DC. BI-RADS categorization as a predictor of malignancy. *Radiology*, 1999;211:845-50.
3. Sickles EA. Periodic mammographic follow-up of probably benign lesions: results in 3184 consecutive cases. *Radiology*, 1991;179:463-8
4. Varas X, Leborgne JH. Nonpalpable, probably benign lesions: role of follow-up mammography: *Radiology*, 1992;184:409-14.
5. Liberman L, Abramson AF, Squires FB, Glassman JR, Morris EA, Dershaw DD. The breast imaging and data system: positive predictive value of mammographic features and final assessment categories. *AJR* 1998;171:35-40.
6. Taplin SH, Ichikawa LE, Kerlikowske K et al. Concordance of breast imaging reporting and data system assessment and management recommendations in screening mammography. *Radiology* 2002;222:529-35.
7. Ciatto S, Houssami N, Apruzesse A, Bassetti E, Brancato B, Carozzi F, Catarzi S, Lamberini MP, Marcelli G, Pellizzoni R, Pesce B, Risso G, Russo F Scorsolini A. Reader variability in reporting breast imaging according to BI-RADS assessment categories (the Florence experience). *The Breast* 2006;15:44-51.
8. Lazarus E, Mainiero MB, Schepps B, Koelliker SL, Livingstone LS. BI-RADS lexicon for US and mammography: interobserver variability and positive predictive value. *Radiology* 2006;239:385-91.
9. Erguvan-Dogan B, Whitman GJ, Kushwaha AC, Phelps MJ, Dempsey PJ. BI-RADS-MRI: a primer. *AJR* 2006; 187:W152-W160.